

THE PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE OF OTT VIDEO STREAMING



Shuen-Huei Guan

Ph.D., EVP, KKCompany Technologies
drakeguan@kkcompany.com



Feng-Hsu Tsai

Sr. Manager, KKCompany Technologies
nealtsai@kkcompany.com

Imagine a world where technologies and infrastructures were not ready for video streaming; efficient video codecs were not widespread; the global fiber optic network was still in early development; video content protection had not yet been designed; and even mobile devices were not that powerful enough. In this world, there would be no Netflix, Crunchyroll, or even YouTube. That is an unimaginable scenario for modern people, but fortunately, it is just a product of the imagination. Today, we have a wide variety of video streaming services available in our daily lives, particularly in the realm of over-the-top (OTT) media services [1].

The history of OTT video streaming can be traced back to the early days of the internet, when streaming audio and video first became possible. In 1995, Macromedia released Shockwave Player for Netscape Navigator, which became the primary tool of streaming media on the Internet for the late 1990s and 2000s. In 1997, RealNetworks launched RealPlayer, the first streaming media player, which allowed users to stream video and audio content over the internet [2].

Akamai Technologies began delivering streaming video and audio content over the internet in 1999, using its global network of servers to improve the quality and reliability of streaming [3].

In 2005, YouTube was launched, offering a platform for users to upload and share their own video content. This platform became a major player in the OTT video streaming market. In 2007, Netflix began offering streaming video to its subscribers in addition to its DVD rental service. In 2008, Hulu, a joint venture between several major TV networks, launched its OTT video streaming service [2]. In 2013, Netflix became the first OTT video streaming service to be nominated for an Emmy award, marking the growing mainstream acceptance of streaming video [4].

The COVID-19 pandemic has also had a significant impact on the Video on demand (VOD) and OTT video streaming industry, as lockdowns and social distancing measures led to a surge in the use of these services [5]. These milestones and technological advancements demonstrate the rapid evolution of OTT, VOD and even live video streaming industry, which has transformed the way that we consume video content.

Technologies in Video Streaming

Overview

OTT, VOD, and live video streaming all rely on the transmission of video data over the internet. There are several technologies that are commonly used to facilitate this transmission, including H.264/AVC, HTTP, RTMP, and HLS.

H.264/AVC is a video compression standard based on block-oriented, motion-compensated coding [6][7][8]. It is by far the most commonly used format for the recording, compression, and distribution of video content. HTTP [9] is a widely-supported protocol that allows for the transfer of data between a client (such as a web browser) and a server (such as a web server). RTMP is a proprietary protocol developed by Adobe Systems that is optimized for streaming video [10]. HLS is a protocol developed by Apple that works by dividing the video stream into segments, which are then delivered to the client using HTTP. HLS is one of the widespread protocols to achieve adaptive bitrate streaming [11].

In addition to these technologies, there are also a range of alternative technologies, tools and services that are used in the production and delivery of OTT, VOD, and live video streaming in consideration of business requirements. These may include other audio/video codecs, MPEG-DASH, DRM, CDNs, streaming servers, and video players.

Video Codecs

If we have to name some key technologies which make video streaming feasible and possible, H.264/AVC video codec is definitely the first one coming out.

The Advanced Video Coding (H.264/AVC) video codec has dominated the industry for over 20 years, but its successor, High Efficiency Video Coding (H.265/HEVC) [12][13][14], has faced patent issues that have prevented it from fully replacing H.264. Despite this, the demand for better video compression technology has increased in recent years due to the growth of the

internet and streaming industry. As a result, newer codecs such as AOMedia Video 1 (AV1) [15][16] and Versatile Video Coding (H.266/VVC) [17] have emerged, offering improved compression efficiency and lower encoding bitrates compared to H.265, referring to Figure 1.

However, the advancement of codec technology and device compatibility are often trade-offs. For example, while H.264 has the best device compatibility, it has a higher bitrate than other advanced codecs. Therefore, it's important for technology firms to consider the deployment of multi-codec strategies, such as delivering H.264 for all devices and H.265 or AV1 for specific ones, in order to balance compatibility and bitrate savings.

In summary, H.264 is the most used video codec in video streaming. And there are new emerging challengers, e.g. H.265, AV1, and H.266, to deal with higher video resolutions, higher video quality, and even higher video bitrate consumption.




Figure 1. The history of some selected video codecs.

Video Streaming Protocols

In addition to the H.264 video codec, there are two other technologies that are essential for video streaming: HLS and MPEG-DASH [18].

Real-Time Messaging Protocol (RTMP) was one of the first streaming protocols used for video streaming, but it has largely been replaced by more modern protocols such as HTTP Live Streaming (HLS) and Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP (MPEG-DASH). Both HLS and MPEG-DASH are widely used for delivering video streams over the internet, and they both use a technique called adaptive bitrate streaming. This means that the video stream is divided into segments and multiple versions of each segment are encoded at different bitrates, as in

Figure 2. The client (such as a video player) can then adapt to the available network conditions by selecting the appropriate version of each segment to play. This allows for a smooth viewing experience, even when there are fluctuations in the network connection.




Figure 2. Adaptive bitrate streaming.

Common Media Application Format (CMAF) is a newer protocol that is gaining traction in the industry [19]. It is designed to be a common format for delivering both video and audio content, and it is supported by a wide range of devices and platforms. CMAF aims to simplify the process of delivering video streams by allowing content to be delivered using a single format, rather than multiple formats for different devices and platforms, which are caused by HLS and MPEG-DASH currently.

In summary, HLS, MPEG-DASH, and CMAF are all widely used streaming protocols in the video streaming industry, and they all use adaptive bitrate streaming to deliver smooth and consistent viewing experiences to users.

Adaptive Video Encoding

A subtle challenge in video streaming is the design of bitrate ladders, which determine the bitrates at which different versions of a video are encoded. Traditionally, a "one size fits all" approach has been used, with a single set of bitrates applied to all videos [20]. However, this approach can be inefficient, as different videos may have different characteristics that require different bitrates for optimal quality. Furthermore, even different viewers can perceive different qualities given a video with a single set of bitrates, as in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Plot of perceived video quality based on bitrates x users.

To address this challenge, Netflix introduced the concept of Per-Title Encoding (PTE) [21], as in Figure 4. PTE is a technique that involves analyzing the characteristics of each individual video and selecting the optimal set of bitrates based on those characteristics. This allows for a more efficient use of bitrates, resulting in smaller file sizes and faster streaming speeds. PTE can be applied to various adaptive bitrate formats, such as HLS and MPEG-DASH, and it has become an increasingly popular approach in the video streaming industry.




Figure 4. Videos with different characteristics require different bitrates for optimal quality.

In summary, PTE is a powerful tool for optimizing the design of bitrate ladders in video streaming. By analyzing the characteristics of each individual video and selecting the optimal set of bitrates, PTE allows for more efficient use of bitrates, resulting in smaller file sizes and faster streaming speeds.

Video Content Protection

The protection of video content and the management of rights is critical for the OTT video streaming industry. Digital Rights Management (DRM) is a technology that is used to protect content from unauthorized access and distribution. DRM solutions typically work by encrypting the content and requiring users to authenticate themselves before they can access the content, as in Figure 5. This helps to prevent piracy and ensure that content owners are able to monetize their content appropriately.

There are several major DRM solutions available, including PlayReady by Microsoft [22], Widevine by Google [23], and FairPlay by Apple [24]. These solutions are supported by a wide range of devices and platforms, but they may not be compatible with all devices or platforms. Alternative technologies for content protection, such as ClearKey, are also available [25].




Figure 5. A system flow of DRM authentication.

To ensure that content is protected on a wide range of devices and platforms, many OTT video streaming companies use multi-DRM solutions [26]. This involves using multiple DRM solutions in parallel to cover different devices and platforms. This approach can provide a higher level of protection, but it can also be more complex to implement.

In conclusion, DRM is a critical technology for protecting video content and managing rights in the OTT video streaming industry. While major DRM solutions such as PlayReady, Widevine, and FairPlay are widely used, multi-DRM solutions may be necessary to cover a broader range of devices and platforms. Alternative technologies, such as ClearKey, may also be used to protect content.

Challenges for Video Streaming

At KKCompany Technologies, we design, build, and operate end-to-end video streaming platforms for our clients. The end-to-end process includes all of the technologies mentioned above, as well as cloud services, applications and players on various platforms, such as web, iOS, Android, smart TV, set-top box (STB). As Pixar's John Lasseter once said, "Art challenges technology, and technology inspires art" [27]. Through our work with clients, we have observed some new challenges in the video streaming industry, and we would like to share some of them here.

Quality & Bitrate

There is always a trade-off between video quality and video bitrate. The higher the quality demanded, the higher the bitrate required, which can lead to the worse streaming experience.

According to some reports, the world is entering the 5G era, which could potentially lead to stronger networking infrastructure and a better streaming environment [28]. However, it is important to note that 5G is still in its early stages and many 5G services have not yet reached their full potential. Access to 5G is currently limited to a

few specific regions, with much of the world still relying on 4G or even 3G networks. Additionally, even when 5G becomes more widely available, it is likely that new services will be developed that will continue to put strain on networking bandwidth, such as 4K multi-view streaming, 8K streaming, real-time high-frame-rate game streaming, or 360 VR streaming.

This is why new video codecs, like H.265, AV1, and H.266, have been developed. These codecs allow us to handle higher video resolutions, higher video quality, and even higher video bitrate consumption. These new codecs are designed to cover potential future usage scenarios.

Protection & Penetration

In the visual effects and animation industry, the saying "every pixel counts" is a reminder of the effort that goes into creating high-quality movies or episodes. This process involves rendering pixels, frames, shots, and sequences, all of which contribute to the final video. To make the streaming of such high-budget premium content feasible and robust, technology companies often partner with software and hardware firms to incorporate digital rights management (DRM).

DRM functions quite well, or at least, well enough to some extent, except one drawback: it raises the difficulty of a video streaming's playback. That is, DRM generates playback issues when there is something wrong during the overall trust-building process. So there is always an argument with video protection and video penetration.

Solution

At KKCompany, we understand the above challenges faced by the video streaming industry, particularly the conflict between video quality and bitrate, as well as the need for effective content

protection without impacting video penetration. That's why we offer a range of solutions designed to address these challenges head-on.

Our multi-codec and multi-streaming protocol, combined with multi-codecs technology, approach allows us to deliver high-quality video at optimal bitrates, while our tailor-made design of Per-Title Encoding (PTE) enables us to fine-tune our bitrate ladders for each individual video. Additionally, we offer multi-DRM protection and customized players to ensure that authorized content is only accessible to authorized users on all platforms. By leveraging these advanced technologies, we are able to deliver a seamless and secure streaming experience to our clients.

Future of Video Streaming

When considering the future of video streaming services and technologies, it is impossible to ignore the potential impact of new technologies from other fields. While video technologies themselves will continue to advance and deliver new value, the rapid pace of technological change means that the integration of these technologies with those from other fields could lead to even more opportunities in the future.

Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence (AI) is expected to play a significant role in shaping the future of video streaming. AI technologies, such as machine learning and natural language processing, can be used to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of video streaming services. For example, AI can be used to optimize the delivery of video streams based on network conditions, to recommend content to users based on their preferences, and to personalize the viewing experience. AI can also be used to analyze viewer behavior and provide insights to content creators and distributors, helping them to understand and engage their audience more effectively.

videos has been a long-standing research area, with numerous studies and approaches developed over the years. For example, Schwenzow et al. [29] discusses the challenges and approaches for extracting knowledge from videos, including the use of natural language processing and machine learning techniques. Bai et al. [30] presents a survey of techniques for extracting information from videos, including the use of text and speech recognition, visual analysis, and multimodal approaches. These studies demonstrate the ongoing research efforts in this area, and highlight the potential for extracting knowledge and information from videos to deliver additional side-information.

Virtual Reality & Augmented Reality

Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies are also expected to have a significant impact on the future of video streaming. VR and AR allow users to immerse themselves in immersive, interactive experiences, and they have the potential to revolutionize the way that we consume video content. For example, VR and AR could be used to create interactive, multi-dimensional video experiences that go beyond traditional 2D video. They could also be used to enhance live events, such

Extracting knowledge and information from

as sports or concerts, by allowing users to experience them from different angles or perspectives.

Blockchain

Blockchain technology has the potential to transform the way that video content is distributed and monetized. By using blockchain, content creators and distributors can create a decentralized system for distributing and tracking content, allowing for more efficient and transparent distribution and payment processes. For example, blockchain could be used to create a decentralized platform for distributing video content, where content creators and consumers can interact directly without the need for intermediaries. This could help to create a more equitable and efficient video streaming ecosystem, and it could potentially enable new business models for content creators and distributors.

Conclusion

As we have explored in this article, the world of video streaming has come a long way since the early days of dial-up internet and grainy, low-resolution video. Today, we have access to a wide range of technologies that allow us to stream high-quality video in real time, regardless of where we are or what device we are using. However, the world of video streaming is constantly evolving, and new challenges and opportunities are always on the horizon.

As we look to the future, it is not hard to imagine a world where video streaming is even more integral to our daily lives. With the continued development of new technologies, such as artificial intelligence and virtual reality, we may be able to fully immerse ourselves in the video content we watch, experiencing it as if we were right there in the scene. Additionally, the integration of blockchain technologies could revolutionize the way we pay for and access video content, allowing for more flexibility and control over what we watch.

Overall, the future of video streaming looks bright, and we can't wait to see what new technologies and innovations will shape the industry in the years to come.

References

- [1] "Over-the-top media service", in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Over-the-top_media_service
- [2] "Timeline of online video", in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_online_video
- [3] "Akamai Company History", in <https://www.akamai.com/company/company-history>
- [4] "List of Primetime Emmy Awards received by Netflix", in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Primetime_Emmy_Awards_received_by_Netflix
- [5] S. Azhar, R. Casey, S. Guillot, and B. Morris. "The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Subscription Video-On-Demand Services", in <https://ecommons.luc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?filename=0&article=1269&context=ures&type=additional>
- [6] "Draft ITU-T recommendation and final draft international standard of joint video specification (ITU-T Rec. H.264 | ISO/IEC 14496-10 AVC)", JVT G050, 2003.
- [7] T. Wiegand, G. J. Sullivan, G. Bjontegaard, and A. Luthra. "Overview of the H.264/AVC video coding standard", IEEE Transactions on Circuit and System for Video Technology, pp. 560-576, Jul. 2003
- [8] Iain E. G. Richardson. "H.264 and MPEG-4 Video Compression: Video Coding for Next-generation Multimedia", John Wiley & Sons, 2003.
- [9] T. Berner-Lee. "The Original HTTP as defined in 1991", www.w3.org. World Wide Web Consortium, 1991.
- [10] "Real-Time Messaging Protocol", in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real-Time_Messaging_Protocol
- [11] R. Pantos, and W. May. "HTTP Live Streaming (RFC 8216)", IETF, May 2009.
- [12] G. J. Sullivan, J.-R. Ohm, W.-J. Han, and T. Wiegand. "Overview of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) Standard", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology. IEEE. 22 (12): 1649-1668. doi:10.1109/TCSVT.2012.2221191.
- [13] "H.265: High efficiency video coding", ITU, 2015-07-09.
- [14] J.-R. Ohm, G. J. Sullivan, H. Schwarz, T. K. Tan, and T. Wiegand. "Comparison of the Coding Efficiency of Video Coding Standards – Including High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 2012.
- [15] The Alliance for Open Media. "AV1 Bitstream & Decoding Process Specification", 2 May 2019.
- [16] S. Zimmerman. "Google's Royalty-Free Answer to HEVC: A Look at AV1 and the Future of Video Codecs", XDA Developers, 14 June 2017.
- [17] M. Viitanen, J. Sainio, A. Mercat, A. Lemmetti and J. Vanne, "From HEVC to VVC: The First Development Steps of a Practical Intra Video Encoder", in IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 139-148, May 2022, doi: 10.1109/TCE.2022.3146016.
- [18] T. Stockhammer. "Dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP : standards and design principles", MMSys, Feb 2011.
- [19] "ISO/IEC DIS 23000-19 - Information technology -- Multimedia application format -- Part 19: Common Multimedia Application Format (CMAF)". ISO.
- [20] "One size fits all," in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/One_size_fits_all
- [21] "Per-Title Encode Optimization," Netflix Technology Blog, 2015-12-14.
- [22] Microsoft. "PlayReady," in <https://www.microsoft.com/playready/>
- [23] Google. "Widevine," in <https://developers.google.com/widevine/drm/overview>
- [24] Apple. "FairPlay," in <https://developer.apple.com/streaming/fps/>
- [25] "Common Encryption for ISO Base Media File Format Files", MPEG
- [26] "DASH-IF implementation guidelines: content protection and security", DASH Industry Forum
- [27] "John Lasseter On the Future Of Animation," in https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uFbOOjAC_Fg

[28] O. Burkacky, A. Hoffmann, S. Lingemann, and M. Simon. "The 5G era - new horizons for advanced electronics and industrial companies," in <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/industrials-and-electronics/our-insights/the-5g-era-new-horizons-for-advanced-electronics-and-industrial-companies>

[29] J. Schwenzow, J. Hartmann, A. Schikowsky, and M. Heitmann. "Understanding videos at scale: How to extract insights for business research". J Bus Res 123, 367–379 (2021).

[30] K. Bai R and P. Badar. "A Survey on Various Techniques used for Video Retrieval," in PiCES, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 15-17, May 2019.